

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/401,939	09/23/1999	MICHAEL C. SCOGGIE	CAT/29US-SCROCO	5333
31518 75	590 03/31/2008		EXAMINER	
NEIFELD IP LAW, PC 4813-B EISENHOWER AVENUE				
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37) Application No. 09/401,939 Examiner JEAN JANVIER Applicant(s) SCOGGIE ET AL. Art Unit 3688

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>10 November 2006</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

LXILI	BIONG OF THIS TIME I ENGLY MAT BE GRANTED GIBER 37 OF R 1.130.
1. 🔲	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3.	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. 🗆	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$).
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
	The Application are not made the Franciscophic and the Application and the Application Application

The Application was returned to the Examiner because the Appeal Center had concluded that the Appeal Brief contains a dictionary term that is technically considered to be evidence (See Appeal Brief page 15 and Section E related to the definition of a voucher). This dictionary term should be included along with a copy of said term in the Evidence Appendix Section of the said Appeal Brief (See MPEP 1205.02). Thus, the Appeal Brief is said to be defective. Positively, no other change, update or amendment is necessary or required at this time and hence, any other change to the existing Appeal Brief, unless otherwise approved by the Examiner, will be considered as a new issue and will not be entered.

/Jean Janvier/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3688 /